Exhibit 5

United States of America ex rel. Ven-A-Care of the Florida Keys, Inc., et al. v. Dey, Inc., et al., Civil Action No. 05-11084-PBS

Exhibit to the March 10, 2010 Declaration of Sarah L. Reid in Support of Dey Defendants' Response to Plaintiffs' Motion Concerning The Use of Depositions

	TOM	COLIDE
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTR	(ICT	COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSA	CHUS	ETTS
>		
IN RE: PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY)		
AVERAGE WHOLESALE PRICE LITIGATION)	MDL	No. 1456
)	Civ	il Action
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	No.	01-12257-PBS
United States of America, ex. rel.)	Hon	. Patti Saris
Ven-a-Care of the Florida Keys,	Mag	istrate Judge
<pre>Inc., v. Abbott Laboratories, Inc.,)</pre>		
Civil Action No. 06-11337-PBS; and)		
United States of America, ex. rel.)	VID	EOTAPED
Ven-a-Care of the Florida Keys,	DEP	OSITION OF
Inc., v. Dey, Inc., et. al., Civil)	THE	ILLINOIS
Action No. 05-11084-PBS; and United)	DEP.	ARTMENT OF
States of America, ex. rel.	HEA	LTHCARE AND
Ven-a-Care of the Florida Keys,	FAM	ILY SERVICES
Inc., v. Boehringer Ingleheim)	by	JAMES PARKER
Corp. et. al., Civil Action)		
No. 07-10248-PBS.	NOV	EMBER 18, 200

Henderson Legal Services, Inc. www.hendersonlegalservices.com

104 1 Would he have final say, or would that 2 be his Bureau Chief, over what payment 3 methodology was proposed? MR. LIBMAN: Objection to form. 5 THE WITNESS: Define when you say --BY MR. REALE: 7 I mean when ultimately HFS decided to 0. 8 propose a particular payment methodology --9 Propose, mean put into a State Plan 10 Amendment or a Rule Filing? 11 Yes. Would that authority come from 0. 12 Mr. Hazelwood or one of his superiors? 13 One of his superiors, but not his Α. 14 immediate superior. 15 And would it be the Director at IDPA? 0. 16 Α. Yes. 17 Now, you mentioned being on the phone Q. 18 with attorneys from both the State of Illinois 19 and the U.S. Attorney's Office; is that correct? 20 Α. Yes. 21 How many times did you speak with

attorneys from the United States Office?

22

105

- A. I believe on the phone, there were two
- calls in the recent past, and I believe one call
- in the distant murky past.
- Q. And how long were these calls?
- 5 A. Of the recent calls, the first one I
- 6 believe lasted perhaps two hours, the second one
- 7 perhaps an hour.
- Q. And which attorneys from the United
- 9 States did you speak to on these calls?
- 10 A. Just Laurie that I'm aware of.
- Q. And did you --
- 12 A. I believe that's all, yes.
- Q. And did you talk about the testimony
- that you would give today?
- MR. LIBMAN: Objection to form. Let me
- just caution you again, and actually I'm going to
- instruct you not to discuss the content of any of
- the conversations for the following reason:
- I believe all the calls for which
- you're asking involved calls for which counsel
- for the State, namely myself, participated. We
- have asserted or are asserting, to the extent

106

- you're not aware of it, a common interest
- ² privilege over those communications.
- So I'm going to instruct you, Mr.
- ⁴ Parker, not to reveal the content of any of the
- 5 communications that took place during any of the
- telephone calls with myself and Ms. Oberembt
- that you've just recently been asked about.
- 8 BY MR. REALE:
- 9 Q. You may answer. It's just a yes or no
- question. Did you talk about the testimony --
- MR. LIBMAN: Well --
- 12 BY MR. REALE:
- Q. (Continuing) -- that you'd give today,
- 14 yes or no?
- MR. LIBMAN: I'm going to instruct you
- not to answer that question because that would
- reveal the content of the communications to which
- we assert our privilege.
- MR. REALE: So you're going to block me
- on asking whether or not the witness talked to
- 21 attorneys of the United States about the
- testimony today? What is --

107 1 MR. LIBMAN: No, I think you've 2 established -- no. I think my objection speaks 3 for itself, but I think you've established that he spoke with attorneys to prepare for his 5 deposition, namely myself and Ms. Oberembt. To the extent you want to ask anything further, we can see what the question is, but as to the particular question you asked about did we 9 discuss his testimony today, I believe that 10 question calls for him to reveal the content of 11 the communications, which we assert our 12 privilege. So I am instructing him not to answer 13 this particular question. 14 BY MR. REALE: 15 Did attorneys for the United States 0. 16 provide you documents either before or after your 17 telephone conversations? 18 MR. LIBMAN: I'm similarly going to 19 instruct you not to answer that question as well 20 on work product grounds, and --21 MR. REALE: What's the work product? 22 MR. LIBMAN: Well, I'll be happy to